

# **Submission on Proposed Kaipara District Plan**

# Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Kaipara District Council - District Plan Review

Date received: 29/06/2025

**Submission Reference Number #:81** 

This is a submission on the following proposed plan (the proposal): Proposed Kaipara District Plan

## Submitter:

Ngaire Hames

# Contact person and address for service:

Ngaire Hames 239 Wearmouth Road Paparoa 0571 New Zealand

Electronic address for service: aandnhames@gmail.com

I wish to be heard: Yes

I am willing to present a joint case: Yes

Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?

- No

If you have answered yes to the above question, are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition
- Ń/A

# **Submission points**

#### **Point 81.1**

#### Address:

Piccadilly Street, Pahi

## Submission:

I oppose the provisions for the house to be listed as a heritage item as there have been many alterations made and the building has changed, so already, it is not the historically correct version. There have already been many alterations made to the building to change the look of the exterior, including changing windows to doors, addition of decking, removal of the fire escapes, addition of a garage, already being painted a different colour than the original, and a rock wall encircles the house to help keep out flood waters. None of this is in keeping with the original hotel.

I oppose the provision that the house can only be painted certain colours in keeping with its history, as the house has already changed colour from white to green.

I oppose the provision that asks for the historically accurate materials be used when possible, as many of the windows and doors have been replaced with aluminum joinery.

I oppose the provision that states the inability to part demolish the property, as the fire escapes have already been removed and thrown away, plus the brick chimney needs to be removed.

As for the possibility of having archeological significance, the area used to be surrounded by the beach so it is not very likely to find records of human life pre 1900.

## Relief sought

I do support the provision that the building not be demolished as it does have some historical significance, however this would be the only provision I want the Council to keep in for the Former Pahi Hotel. I do not want this property to be listed as a Historic Heritage Item.

If the Council does end up listing it, I want any costs involved in applying for resource consents to be covered by the Council.